



MINUTES
LIQUOR COMMISSION - REGULAR SESSION
TUESDAY, MARCH 11, 2025, 4:00 PM

The Liquor Commission convened in regular session at 4:00 p.m. Commissioner Mwilambwe called the meeting to order.

Roll Call

Attendee Name	Title	Status
Mboka Mwilambwe	Commissioner	Present
Lindsey Meister	Commissioner	Absent
Sreenivas Poondru	Commissioner	Present

Staff Advisors

Attendee Name	Title	Status
Leslie Smith-Yocum	City Clerk	Present
George Boyle	Asst. Corporation Counsel	Present
Chris McAllister	Building Official	Present
Paul Williams	Asst. Police Chief	Present

Public Comment

Leslie Yocum, City Clerk, noted that Angela Shine, King Lane, and Marty Lane had registered to speak, but decided to instead testify at the Public Hearing for Item 5.A. No emailed public comment was received.

Consent Agenda

Items listed on the Consent Agenda are approved with one motion; Items pulled from the Consent Agenda for discussion are listed and voted on separately.

Commissioner Mwilambwe made a motion, seconded by Commissioner Poondru, to approve the Consent Agenda as presented.

Item 4.A. Consideration and Action on Approving the Minutes of the January 14, 2025, Regular Liquor Commission Meeting. (Recommended Motion: The proposed Minutes be approved.)

Item 4.B. Consideration and Action on Approving the Minutes of the February 11, 2025, Regular Liquor Commission Meeting. (Recommended Motion: The proposed Minutes be approved.)

Commissioner Mwilambwe directed the clerk to call roll:

AYES: Mwilambwe; Poondru

Motion carried.

Regular Agenda

All license creations, amendments, or transfers are contingent upon compliance with all building, health, and safety codes.

The following item was presented:

Item 5.A. Public Hearing and Action on an Application from MGN Foods, Inc., d/b/a West Market Groceries, located at 301 W. Market St., is Requesting Approval of a Change in Classification from a Class PBS (Package, Beer & Wine Only, and Sunday Sales) to a Class PAS (Package, All Types of Alcohol, and Sunday Sales) Liquor License.

Commissioner Mwilambwe opened the Public Hearing at 4:06 p.m.

Mahesh Patel, President of West Market Groceries, Inc., and Victor Patel, Manager of West Market Groceries, Inc., after being sworn, addressed the Commission. Mr. Mahesh Patel explained that he owned West Market Grocery Store and had been running the business since 2012. He noted that two years ago they applied for a liquor license, which was approved by the Liquor Commission but was rejected by the City Council. He explained that he now owned the entire complex where the business is located including the neighboring unit, Star Crest Cleaner. He shared that his motivation for seeking the expanded liquor license is to grow his business.

Commissioner Mwilambwe asked if there had been any issues with the business. Mr. Mahesh Patel responded no. He discussed how the previous owner of the complex had initially been against their (2023) liquor license application, but later supported it and offered to sell the complex to him since his business had been there since 2012. He explained that he and his team decided to take over the entire complex and now own the building, the Cleaner, and all associated businesses, in addition to managing the property.

Mr. Mahesh Patel shared that he previously owned a business at the corner of Washington and Clinton Streets for 22 years, which he sold, but still owns the real estate. He also previously owned a gas station in Normal that he operated for 14 years. He noted he had been in the Bloomington-Normal area since 1999, originally from Michigan. He emphasized his commitment to growing with the community and his long-standing presence in the area.

Commissioner Mwilambwe asked if the applicants lived in the community. The applicants both answered yes.

Assistant Corporation Counsel George Boyle asked about the business' hours of operation. Mr. Victor Patel answered 7 a.m. to 9 p.m. Mr. Boyle asked if they expected a change in the hours as a result of the change in classification. Mr. Victor Patel answered no. Mr. Boyle asked about the size of containers that would be sold and specifically asked if half pints would be sold. Mr. Victor Patel answered yes to half pints. Mr. Boyle asked if the applicants had received any input from the neighborhood about the proposed change in classification. Mr. Victor Patel answered yes and noted that several customers had been requesting that they sell liquor in addition to beer and wine.

Commissioner Mwilambwe asked Assistant Police Chief Paul Williams if there had been any concerns with the location in the past two years. Asst. Chief Williams reported that during a liquor check long ago, the establishment had failed, but they had passed every subsequent check. He described the area where the establishment is located as historically rough, noting that the neighborhood's conditions had not significantly improved or worsened since the applicants took over the establishment.

Mr. Boyle entered City's Exhibit A, which showed other establishments that held packaged liquor licenses in the area.

Asst. Chief Williams described the area around the business as challenging and noted that homeless individuals frequently gathered close to the nearby parking deck. He stated that the area was rougher to the West and North and noted there was a problematic apartment building about a block away. He emphasized that the area could be troubled sometimes, but not always, and he stressed the business owners were not the cause of the area's issues. He characterized the neighborhood as rough overall, but noted it was not necessarily the worst part of town. He stated that the business owners had passed multiple liquor checks in the last two to three years and noted that they had few calls for service at their store. He shared that when calls for service did occur, they were typically minor issues like shoplifting or individuals refusing to leave the store. He emphasized that these incidents were not directly caused by the business owners and explained that the business experienced similar issues to other businesses in town, with occasional problematic customers who were asked to leave. He noted the neighborhood as challenging and pointed out an existing liquor store about a block West that had been there for a long time. He mentioned Downtown locations where liquor could be purchased in the evenings.

Commissioner Mwilambwe asked if Pub I. was the business to the West he referred to. Asst. Chief Williams confirmed. Asst. Chief Williams discussed the proximity of other liquor establishments, noting the closest liquor store was about a block away. He mentioned Thorntons on North Main Street about six or seven blocks away and described it as having a busy liquor license. He emphasized that the downtown homeless population was a community-wide issue and not specific to this business.

Commissioner Mwilambwe asked if there was a specific location that the majority of calls for service originated from in the area. Asst. Chief Williams indicated that the incidents that generated calls for service were generally in and around the area and not necessarily confined to a specific location. He described the area as a busy intersection with high foot and motorist traffic. He noted that people frequented the location to do laundry and pick up necessities due to minimal options nearby. He emphasized that fights had occurred in the area, which characterized the location as challenging. He acknowledged the business owners as trying to do a good job despite the difficult neighborhood.

Commissioner Mwilambwe asked what times the calls for service were usually generated. Asst. Police Chief Williams explained that daytime calls often involved homeless individuals, mental health issues, intoxicated individuals, or trespassing. He noted as the day progressed, the nature of calls changed, and that evening calls were more likely to be more serious problems, such as fighting, drug dealing, and gang activity. He offered to provide detailed statistics about calls to the area. Commissioner Mwilambwe stated that providing the statistics would be helpful.

Commissioner Mwilambwe asked if anyone would like to speak for or against the item.

Marty Lane, after being sworn, addressed the Commission. Ms. Lane shared that she had lived on West Market Street for 31 years. She noted that her son and her mother also lived in the area. She stated that many of the calls mentioned had come from property owners like herself who are committed to helping better the area. She explained that many homeowners in the area previously rented properties in the area, as well, and shared that she had been asked by many neighbors to speak on behalf of their neighborhood. She highlighted the 26-year-old food pantry on Oak Street she'd run and emphasized that the area was a food desert with no grocery store on Market Street. She noted the nearest grocery store was Lupita's, which was far from the (applicant) establishment. She described her food pantry as serving 600 people monthly

with clients bringing shopping carts and strollers highlighting the area's ambulatory nature. She noted the community's significant social service infrastructure including Safe Harbor (Salvation Army), Abundant Life, a new bus depot, an emergency behavioral center, and the Center for Human Services. She shared that nearby homeless shelters discharged people at 7 a.m., and the people then wandered the area and purchasing alcohol from the (applicant) store. She stated that from her porch, she witnessed people buy beer, and then walk to the Wesley church parking lot to drink. She noted making frequent calls to police to remove people from drinking in the church parking lot and on private porches. She argued that while the store owner claimed he wanted to grow with the community, the addition of additional access to alcohol would set the community back, not help it progress forward. She recounted the historical impact of alcohol in the neighborhood, referencing Pop's Groceries, a previous store that sold hard liquor. She explained that the prevalence of drunk individuals was so severe that residents had built a four-foot-retaining wall along Market Street to prevent people from lying in front yards. She added that both her and Pub I. had to remove staircases in front of their homes/businesses due to intoxicated people using them to walk into the street. She noted that as a disabled person, she now had to go to the backside of her home to enter and highlighted how disruptive the effects of alcohol-related behavior had been on others. She listed multiple alcohol outlets that existed within a five-minute walk including Freedom Oil, Downtown liquor stores, and Sport & Bait in addition to the Downtown bars. She challenged the need for more alcohol, especially hard liquor, and on Sundays. She questioned how it would benefit the community. She criticized the (applicant) business owner for not being involved in community activities like block parties or street cleanups, and for not helping to manage street-level issues when intoxicated individuals were present. She emphasized that the community wants proactive solutions, not just more alcohol access. She accused the (applicant) business owner of having a significant loitering problem around his building, laundromat, and parking lot, and described how non-residents purchasing alcohol often walked out with cases of beer, which they then concealed and drank throughout the day. She mentioned that the individuals were not community residents, and that they created numerous problems including fighting, car vandalism, and littering. She emphasized how the community was forced to deal with the consequences of their behavior, as well as the negative impact alcohol sales had in the area. She noted the church had to put out trash cans specifically to address beer cans and trash left by transient individuals. She argued that an additional liquor outlet was unnecessary, stressing the community was trying to revitalize the area and pointing out that Downtown was just one block away with a new bus depot planned. She argued against the addition of hard liquor near the bus depot and emphasized that the area already struggled with mental health challenges and concentrated social services. She stated that she could not see how an increased liquor license would benefit community residents, challenging anyone to explain how it could be beneficial.

Angela Shine, after being sworn, addressed the Commission. Ms. Shine noted that she was employed at the laundry mat and dry-cleaning establishment attached to the applicant business. She explained that while she agreed with most of Ms. Lane's testimony, she did not feel that the business selling pints or half pints would make a difference.

Mr. Boyle asked if Ms. Shine was testifying for or against the item. Ms. Shine reiterated that she agreed alcohol was bad, but that she did not feel the addition of hard liquor would make a difference in the neighborhood.

Commissioner Mwilambwe asked how long Ms. Shine had worked at the laundromat and what hours she worked. Ms. Shine answered that she had worked there for 12 years, that the laundromat was open 7 a.m. to 7 p.m., and that she worked the early shift until 2 p.m.

Commissioner Mwilambwe asked if Ms. Shine lived in the area. Ms. Shine answered that she lived across the street from where homeless people resided in the gravel pits.

Mr. Boyle asked if Ms. Shine observed a loitering problem at the (applicant) business. Ms. Shine stated that there was loitering, but noted that the problem was not as bad as it used to be with the homeless people. She explained that people were at the parking garage a lot and that they openly walked around with alcohol. Mr. Boyle asked if she had witnessed public drinking. Ms. Shine stated that she witnessed it all day, every day.

King Lane, after being sworn, addressed the Commission. Mr. Lane asked what good the change would do to help the community, aside from making money for the (applicant) business. Commissioner Mwilambwe asked the applicants if they would like to respond to the question. Mr. Mahesh Patel argued that the store existed before 2012 with beer and wine sales, and no one had opposed it at the time. He emphasized that he had been running the property since 2012 and questioned where people would buy groceries if the store closed. He stressed his commitment to the community and highlighted that he was a local business owner who wanted to grow with the area. He explained that he owned multiple businesses in town and had lived in the area for 24 years. He acknowledged the community's challenges with homelessness and other issues, but emphasized that as a business owner, he could only do so much to control external problems and stressed that while he tried his best to manage the situation, some factors were beyond his control.

Commissioner Mwilambwe asked what food they sold. Mr. Mahesh Patel stated that they were a small family grocery store that sold canned food, soda, and frozen foods among other items. Commissioner Mwilambwe asked if they sold vegetables or meat. Mr. Mahesh Patel answered no. Mr. Victor Patel noted that they sold necessities such as milk, eggs, cheese, butter, bread, frozen foods, sandwiches, pizza, burritos, chips, and soda.

Commissioner Mwilambwe asked which other properties they owned. Mr. Mahesh Patel stated that they owned the coin laundry and the dry cleaners. He noted that they were the service, but they did not do the actual dry cleaning, and that Star Crest would pick up, clean the items, and then return them so the applicant's business could provide the service to customers. He reiterated that he owned the entire complex.

Mr. King challenged Mr. Mahesh Patel's use of the term "grocery store," and argued that a true grocery store should offer fresh produce and meat. He characterized the business as a convenience store and questioned the necessity of adding additional liquor. He suggested that the liquor license would provide easy access to alcohol to people who were potentially detrimental to the community and emphasized that it was a convenience store, not a grocery store. Mr. Mahesh Patel agreed that they should be classified as a convenience store, emphasizing they wanted to be in a convenient area, so people had access to the things they needed. He noted that the name of the store had been West Market Grocery since it opened.

Mr. King asked if the applicants lived on the Westside of Bloomington. Mr. Mahesh Patel provided his address, which was not located in the immediate area. Mr. King asked how the applicants would feel if hard liquor were sold within walking distance of their children where there was already the threat of homelessness, drug abuse, and violence. He acknowledged the business owners' good character, and emphasized the potential negative impact of a liquor store near children. Mr. Mahesh Patel responded that he could not control external circumstances and noted that other nearby establishments such as the Pub and Circle K already sold liquor. He questioned why others were allowed to sell alcohol and suggested that his business aimed to provide a convenient, one-stop shopping experience.

Mr. King distinguished the Pub from the proposed liquor license and explained that patrons could not buy half-pints of liquor and leave with it. He emphasized the Pub's selectivity about who entered and exited and noted it as why they had been around for so long. He explained that he grew up across the street from the Pub and described traumatic childhood memories of violence, some of which were related to intoxicated people that affected his family and the broader community. He expressed concern about his son growing up in the community and his desire to prevent his child from experiencing the same traumatic experiences he endured. He argued that while the (applicant) business owner may not be directly responsible for the community's existing problems, the addition of more alcohol access would only create more issues. He emphasized that just because the owner could not control all circumstances did not mean he should contribute to the community's challenges.

Mr. Victor Patel described his customer base as predominantly local with one or two non-neighborhood customers per day. He emphasized that most customers bought everyday items like soda, chips, beer, and cigarettes. He noted that since taking over the premises in 2012, they had consistently tried to maintain a safe environment for customers and prioritized their dignity and safety. He highlighted their commitment to cleanliness and noted they regularly cleaned the parking lot and ensured the premises were neat, clean, well-organized, and well-maintained.

Mr. King noted his question was not answered and asked how the applicants would feel if liquor were being sold within walking distance of their children. Mr. Boyle explained that the liquor ordinances outlined specific factors to consider when evaluating a classification change and emphasized that the applicant's personal feelings were not a factor to be considered. He suggested that the Commission focus on testimonial evidence about potential neighborhood impacts and other specified criteria outlined in the ordinances.

Rebecca Welch, after being sworn, addressed the Commission. Ms. Welch introduced herself as a 42-year resident of West Monroe Street. She described her deep community connections and noted she was a patron of the store and knew the previous business owners. She highlighted her family's active involvement in the community and suggested that the police had her contact information with numerous recorded interactions. She referenced her long-standing presence in the neighborhood and included memories of previous businesses like the Red Fox. She described her role in establishing a police substation on Howard and Jefferson Streets to address drug issues. She acknowledged efforts to revitalize Downtown and noted the upcoming bus station, and emphasized that she strongly opposed the addition of another packaged liquor store arguing that existing establishments already provided sufficient alcohol access. She described a vacant church lot where men regularly drank alcohol, particularly after liquor stores opened. She noted the nearby bus stop at Oak and Monroe Streets and argued that the scene was inappropriate and suggested that no one would want their children exposed to such behavior. She dismissed the notion that the neighborhood would benefit from additional alcohol sales and argued that people already obtained alcohol, and that the homeless population causing issues was concentrated on the Westside. She challenged the idea that a convenient location would improve the situation and noted that if alcohol were the primary problem, people would be drinking near other establishments. She contrasted the potential liquor store with the community garden behind the business, which she described as a positive space where children learned to grow vegetables and engage in healthy activities. She explained that people drinking and being in the area would disrupt people and the children from learning. She stated that she actively confronted problematic behavior and made it clear that certain actions would not be tolerated. She noted her frequent communication with the Police and how she regularly monitored the area. She drew a parallel between alcohol and cigarette sales and suggested that easier access to smaller quantities enabled more problematic consumption. She argued that the

community had tried to curtail walking and drinking, and did not need additional alcohol outlets to undermine their efforts. She noted that current beer sales have a low alcohol percentage, and people would be required to travel further for stronger alcohol. She described how the neighborhood actively policed the area, picked up cans, and maintained cleanliness. She noted that while she patronized the store, she argued that alcohol was unnecessary. She expressed concern about the potential harm to individuals with substance abuse problems and suggested that adding an alcohol outlet would only encourage and exacerbate existing issues by making alcohol more accessible to vulnerable people. She emphasized her efforts to help the homeless and support her community and highlighted the negative health and economic impacts of alcohol. She argued that the additional alcohol class would not benefit the neighborhood and would create additional challenges in policing underage and irresponsible drinking.

Commissioner Mwilambwe asked how long she had been in the community. Ms. Welch answered 43 years and emphasized her proactive approach to addressing community issues. She highlighted her direct interactions with local authorities and her willingness to intervene in problematic situations. She stressed that simply locking store doors did not ensure safety, particularly on the Westside. Commissioner Mwilambwe asked how many times Ms. Welch had called the Police. Ms. Welch described her ongoing involvement in community safety noting a recent arrest on her block. She explained that despite traveling, she remained actively engaged when in town and received calls from community members about local issues. Commissioner Mwilambwe asked how often they called the Police for alcohol related issues. Ms. Welch answered almost every time and described the community's challenges with alcohol and drugs particularly around a playground at Jefferson and Allen Streets that had become a drinking spot for junior high and high school students. She and other community members had personally confronted young people, telling them to leave, and noted they recognized the police couldn't be everywhere. She argued that allowing more alcohol would only add to existing problems and create more challenges for the neighborhood.

Renee Moore, after being sworn, addressed the Commission. Ms. Moore explained that she had recently returned to the area after retiring from a 36.5-year career and shared her observations about drinking on the Bloomington-Normal buses. She noted encountering drunk people at the courthouse bus stop, even early in the morning when she took the 6 a.m. bus. She expressed particular concern about the planned bus station near the parking garage and its location to the (applicant) store. She viewed it as a potential problem. She noted being Marty Lane's niece and King Lane's aunt. She referenced statistics from bus stations in Detroit and Chicago to argue against adding liquor near the new bus depot. She reported that she had observed widespread alcohol consumption among people with mental health issues and stated that despite having the option to live elsewhere, she emphasized her commitment to the Westside as her home. She strongly opposed additional packaged liquor sales and was particularly concerned about the potential impact on children in the community.

Commissioner Mwilambwe summarized that the Commission had gathered significant information about the liquor license application and proposed continuing the hearing and obtaining additional information from Asst. Chief Williams, before a decision could be made.

Commissioner Mwilambwe closed the Public Hearing at 5:02 p.m.

Commissioner Mwilambwe made a motion, seconded by Commissioner Poondru, to continue the Item to the April 8, 2025, Liquor Commission Meeting.

Commissioner Mwilambwe directed the clerk to call roll:

AYES: Mwilambwe; Poondru

Motion carried.

The following item was presented:

Item 5. B. Public Hearing and Action on an Application from WDOAB, LLC, d/b/a The Atchison, located at 1611 S. Morrissey Dr., Unit 2, Requesting Approval of the Creation of a Class EAS (Entertainment, All Types of Alcohol, and Sunday Sales) Liquor License.

Commissioner Mwilambwe opened the Public Hearing at 5:04 p.m.

Phillip Cade, Owner of WDOAB, LLC (Applicant), after being sworn, addressed the Commission. Mr. Cade explained that the venue was available for rent for various events such as weddings, bar mitzvahs, and other celebrations.

Commissioner Mwilambwe asked about the hours of operation. Mr. Cade explained that days and times of operation would vary widely based on when events were held. He noted events could start as early as 10:00 a.m. or as late as 10:00 p.m., with some events ending as late as 2:00 a.m.

Commissioner Mwilambwe asked if staff would be BASSET certified. Mr. Cade answered yes and explained that multiple staff were already trained and that they would require anyone handling liquor to also be certified. Commissioner Mwilambwe asked how many BASSET-certified employees would be working at one time. Mr. Cade explained that they would have at most three working front of house and would increase depending on event size. He explained the current plan was not to serve alcohol at tables but for attendees to come to the bar.

Commissioner Mwilambwe asked venue capacity. Mr. Cade stated they had been approved for 500 but that they did not anticipate having that many people at an event.

Asst. Corporation Counsel George Boyle asked if there was a kitchen on the premises. Mr. Cade answered yes.

Commissioner Poondru asked about plans for ensuring no underage drinking occurred. Mr. Cade explained that they hire Centennial Protective Service, a security company, who would card people at the door, and that they would use wristbands for those 21 and over, and place stickers on those under 21. He noted that bartenders would also re-check IDs and limit drink purchases, usually to two drinks at a time, depending on the event. He shared an example of a recent event where they also had security roaming the event to ensure compliance. He stated that they were open to improving their system if the Commission had other ideas but that they believed their current approach worked well.

Leslie Yocum, City Clerk, asked Mr. Cade to clarify if when he used the term "stickers" to mark those who were underage, he meant to say "stamps". Mr. Cade concurred.

Mr. Boyle asked if Mr. Cade had experience working in places that served alcohol. Mr. Cade noted he had been in the food and beverage industry for 30+ years and had worked with liquor licenses in various establishments. He discussed his experience catering at ISU (Illinois State University) and bartending at the Pure Marquette in Peoria.

Mr. Boyle asked if Mr. Cade had been in contact with the City's Finance Department about taxes owed. Mr. Cade confirmed he had been in contact with them and he was working to ensure the business was compliant. Mr. Boyle asked that if a permit for license were to be approved, it be made contingent on taxes being paid current.

Asst. Police Chief Paul Williams asked if security cameras were installed inside the building or in the parking lot. Mr. Cade answered no but noted that they were budgeting for them

in the future. Asst. Chief Williams then asked if they planned to have parties in the parking lot. Mr. Cade shared that they planned to at some point, but that they weren't ready to take on parties like that currently. Commissioner Mwilambwe noted they would need to apply for an Extension of Premise permit at that point.

Ast. Chief Williams expressed concerns about potentially large unauthorized events and warned that venues sometimes would be rented for a smaller party, but the event would end up attracting hundreds to thousands of people. He noted that such events had historically led to shootings and could create dangerous situations where the venue owner would lose control. He emphasized that incidents of this nature had increased in recent years, particularly surrounding parties, and stressed the importance of being diligent about who would be renting the space. Mr. Cade explained that they use a careful vetting process. He noted they had worked with Brett Sams, a local security company owner, who maintained a list of problematic renters. He shared a recent instance where, after they conducted social media research, they denied a 21-year-old's birthday party request where the renter planned to invite 200-300 people. He added they would be consulting their security contact about potential renters' reputations and past event histories. Asst. Chief Williams reminded Mr. Cade liability would fall to the business if an event was out of control.

Commissioner Mwilambwe asked if managers or owners would be on site during events. Mr. Cade answered yes and explained that their primary goal was to avoid random bookings and focused on private, controlled events

that the decision to request a reduction in their liquor license classification was based on the cost of the license versus their actual sales.

Staff had no questions or comments.

Commissioner Mwilambwe asked if anyone present would like to speak for or against the item. No one came forward.

Commissioner Mwilambwe closed the Public Hearing at 5:25 p.m.

Commissioner Mwilambwe made a motion, seconded by Commissioner Poondru, to positively recommend the Item to Council.

Commissioner Mwilambwe directed the clerk to call roll:

AYES: Mwilambwe; Poondru

Motion carried.

The following item was presented:

Item 5.D. Public Hearing and Action on an Application from Red Lobster Hospitality, LLC, d/b/a Red Lobster #0130, located at 714 S. Eldorado Rd., Requesting Approval of Change in Parent Company for their Class RAPS (Restaurant, All Types of Alcohol, Package, and Sunday Sales) Liquor License.

The following item was presented:

Item 5.E. Review Sanctions on a Citation Issued to Grand Café, LLC d/b/a Grand Café, located at 2205 E. Oakland Ave., Suite A2, for Violating Chapter 6, Section 37(e)(12) of the Bloomington City Code Relating to Failure to Pay Food and Beverage Tax.

Asst. Corporation Counsel Boyle discussed the sanctions hearing for Grand Café, LLC, regarding unpaid taxes. He noted that there was currently a tax agreement in effect with partial payment and one more payment due. and asked that the hearing be continued to the April 8, 2025, Liquor Commission meeting. He explained that at that time, taxes were expected to be current, and there would be an \$800 fine to be paid by April 30, 2025. He noted that the establishment had already been provided notice about these terms.

Commissioner Mwilambwe continued the Item to the April 8, 2025, Liquor Commission Meeting.

The following item was presented:

Item 5.F. Nature and Extent of Sanctions to be Imposed on TVEO Corporation, d/b/a Eric's Too, located at 921 Maple Hill Rd., for Sale of Alcohol when License was Suspended.

Asst. Corporation Counsel Boyle discussed sanctions against TVEO Corporation for selling alcohol to a minor during a compliance check in December 2024. He explained that the establishment was

The Meeting Adjourned at 5:35 p.m.

CITY OF BLOOMINGTON

Sreenivas Poondru

Sreenivas Poondru, Commissioner

Leslie Smith-Yocum

Leslie Smith-Yocum, City Clerk

